







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,664 02/11/2002		02/11/2002	Lorin C. Nash	19789-10	4604
24256	7590	03/27/2003			
DINSMOR		*	EXAMINER		
1900 CHEM 255 EAST I				LE, HOA	VAN
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45202 ART UNIT PAPER NU			PAPER NUMBER	
				1752	9
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		Applicant(s)	_					
·	$\bigcirc$	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/073,664	NASH ET AL.						
Office Action Sum	mary	Examin r	Art Unit						
		Hoa V. Le	1752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 01 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠ Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2003 .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b) <u></u> ⊤h	is action is non-final.	ction is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims	n the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D	. 11, 433 O.G. 213.						
4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	wed.								
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are reje	cted.								
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	ected to.								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject	to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected	•		statte by the Everiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11									
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		e drawing(s) be held in abeyar							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an		n min miturum don 25 II.C.C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgment is made of	of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	29 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		n □ 1	Immeri (PTO 412) Poner No(-)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F</li> </ol>	ng Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/073,664

Art Unit: 1752

This is in response to the Election filed on 14 February 2003.

- I. Since applicants elect the invention of Group I, aqueous developer solution claims 1-16 without traverse, the application has been transferred to and the elected claims will be examined by examiner Hoa Van Le, Art Unit 1752.
- II. The independent claim 1 is considered as the main invention. The dependent claims 2-16 are considered as the secondary embodiments.
- III. There are three types of aqueous developing solutions in the claims, (1) aqueous black-and-white developing solution, (2) aqueous color developing solution and (3) aqueous activator solution. Each of types of the aqueous developing solutions has each own major search subclass. Therefore, an election of species among them is made for an initiation of a consideration and search as followed:

Claims 1-16 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising (1) aqueous black-and-white developing solution, (2) aqueous color developing solution and (3) aqueous activator developing solution. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,664

Art Unit: 1752

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

(1) With the language "surfactant or thickener", there is required to search for one of IV. them only. No patentably different or distinct between is considered. The second compound in claim 2 is considered as optional or obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art for using them either one alone or their combination. (2) Each of types of the additives has each own major search subclass. Therefore, an election of species between them is made for an initiation of a consideration and search as followed:

Claims 1-16 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising (1) surfactant additive and (2) thickening additive. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an V. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/073,664

Art Unit: 1752

1752

VI. A telephone call was made to Mr. Geoffrey L. Oberhaus on 25 March 2003 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Mr. Oberhaus requested a written Office action.

VII. No other issue is considered until a proper election is made and resolved.

VIII. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 703-308-2295. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM-5:00PM, M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Janet Baxter can be reached on 703-308-2303. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7172 for regular

communications and 703-746-7172 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Hoa V. Le

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752

**HVL** 

25 March 2003

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoa Van le